Keck I Cassegrain ADC: Detailed Design Review

> UCO/Lick Observatory 21 May 2004

Overview & Changes from PDR

- Introduction
- Optics
- Mechanical
- Electrical
- Software

Optical Design Parameters

Prism opening angle	2.5°	
Prism central thickness	45 mm	
Prism clear aperture	1019.2 mm (min.) + 10 mm for safety	
First prism offset	-22.1 mm (below center)	
Minimum prism edge thickness	22 mm	
First prism angle at outer surface	1.67°	
First prism angle at inner surface	-0.83°	
Minimum prism separation	20 mm	
Maximum prism separation	1700 mm	
Location in front of telescope focal surface	1575 mm – center of ADC	
$\mathbf{\star}$	680 mm – min. distance (wrt 2 nd prism)	
Zenith distance for full correction	0 60°	
Prism Material	Fused Silica (Grade D purchased)	
Coatings	$MgF_2 + Sol-Gel$	
Expected Transmission 🔶 📩	$>92\%; \ge 94\%$ average	

Mechanical Design: Overview



(PDR Version)

Overview & Changes from PDR

- Introduction
- Optics
 - Coatings & Expected Transmission (4.1.2)
 - Pointing and Focus Adjustment Models (4.1.3)
 - Prism separation vs. Elevation (4.1.4)
- Mechanical
- Electrical
- Software

Expected ADC Transmission



• 90 mm quartz with 4 surfaces as measured (green, blue = measured; red = model)

Pointing & Focus Adjustments

• Pointing changes in elevation only $(\Delta \theta)$ and depends purely on prism separation (Δd) :

$$\Delta\theta = 2.807 \times 10^{-2} \cdot \Delta d + 1.15$$

2ndry Piston (focus) depends on prism separation and instrument rotation (φ):

$$\Delta z = (a \cdot \cos \phi + b) \cdot \Delta d + c$$

$$a = -2.6726 \times 10^{-5}; b = 7.353 \times 10^{-6}; c = -1.3492$$

Prism Separation vs. Elevation

Lookup table or values for an interpolation function.

Z (deg)	Full Separation (mm)	Z (deg)	Full Separation (mm)
3	12.58	50	580.43
4	21.32	52.5	635.81
8	56.56	54	672.13
12	92.50	55	697.82
16	129.54	56	724.80
20	168.07	57	753.20
24	206.61	58	783.16
28	251.75	59	814.81
32	298.20	60	848.34
36	348.88	61	883.93
40	404.97		
42.5	443.43		
45	485.08		
47.5	530.50		

Overview & Changes from PDR

- Optics
- Mechanical (Vern Wallace)
 - Final Detailed Design
 - Structural Analysis
 - Assembly and Alignment
- Electrical
- Software





TERTIARY TOWER-- 65.02 [2.560 in] -ADC ASSEMBLY 2357.73 [92.824 in] LRIS

LRIS & ADC IN TERTIARY TOWER





SECTION B-B









Overview & Changes from PDR

- Optics
- Mechanical
- Electrical (Barry Alcott)
 - Block Diagram
 - Interconnects
 - Enclosure
- Software

ADC Block Diagram



ADC Interconnection Diagram



ADC Rack Mounted Box



Overview & Changes from PDR

- Optics
- Mechanical
- Electrical
- Software (Will Deich)
 - Schematics
 - GUIs

ADC Architecture



Sample GUI - 1



Sample GUI - 2



1. The ADC science gains should be quantified in "typical" configurations detailing the performance of LRIS without the ADC; with a perfect ADC; and LRIS with the predicted performance of the ADC as designed.

ADC Merit

- Relative throughput without ADC for wellaligned and poorlyaligned slitlets (*l*-*r*), for a variety of conditions (*top-to-bottom*) and elevations (*colors*).
- Report to the SSC included as Appendix 1.



2. A detailed assembly and alignment procedure for the ADC should be developed during the Detailed Design phase.

Ans: This has been done and summarized in Sect.4.2.3

Gravity acting on the prism cells as the telescope elevation changes will cause the prism cells to place a moment on the lead screw via the nut. The deflection produced is minimal as the lead screws are 25 mm in diameter. Cyclic loading due to the deflection is well below the endurance limit and the estimated life of the nut and bearing is not impacted. The end of each lead screw is turned down to a shaft, which passes through two bearings, so eccentric motion of the shaft end is not expected, but this will be reviewed in the detail design phase.

Ans: See discussion in Introduction and Sect. 4.2.1.5. We believe there are no concerns regarding this.

3. The performance of the ADC at <u>commissioning</u> should include representative spectra taken with and without the ADC that can then be used to further quantify the scientific benefit of the ADC.

Ans: We agree, and this is part of the commissioning test suite now being developed with CARA personnel.

4. Additional attention should be given to characterizing the coatings and confirming the transmission that will be achieved. In particular test coatings should be done to confirm the transmission and to evaluate compatibility with various cleaning procedures.

... and ...

5. The field flattener from the original HIRES dewar should be removed after the upgrade is complete and sent to Livermore for measurement of transmission. The original and new transmission curves can then be compared to look for aging effects. Other groups should also be contacted for information about coating durability and aging effects.

Response to Q. 4 and 5

- HIRES dewar window has not yet been removed
- Both PFCam and DEIMOS Sol-Gel coatings look fine after 2-to-many years
- We have discussed Sol-Gel extensively with Jim Stilburn at DAO:
 - ages well -- if hydrophobic agent is applied
 - cleans with alcohol wash or gentle swabbing
 - withstands soft rubbing if hardened ... but ...
 - adheres poorly to [some] MgF₂

Response to Q. 4 and 5, cont'd.

- Poor adhesion of Sol-Gel to MgF₂ remains serious problem!
- Possible solution: *deposit a <u>very thin</u> bond layer of silica (or ... ?) over MgF*₂

Sol-Gel + MgF₂: Adhesion Tests

- Deposited MgF₂ on $2" \times 1/8"$ quartz samples
- Overcoated with thin "bond" layer
- Jim Stilburn (DAO) spin-coated w/ Sol-Gel
- Results of abrasion/adhesion tests:
 - Bare MgF₂: Poor ("comes right off")
 - $-\sim 40$ Å of SiO₂ or SiO_x: Adheres as well as to bare glass
 - $-\sim 40$ Å of Al₂O₃: "tough as nails"

UCO/Lick Coatings Facility







Cary 5000 Spectrophotometer



Single-Surface Transmission



Expected ADC Transmission



• 90 mm quartz with 4 surfaces as measured (green, blue = measured; red = models)

Project Concern/Risk Area

- We feel that coatings remain a technical challenge and that additional development work needs to be done in the next few months. In particular:
 - Need to investigate CO₂ or alt. cleaning
 - Concern about "one-shot" LLNL Sol-Gel dip
 - Concern about applying hydrophobic agent

6. The effect of the ADC should be evaluated in terms of the acceptable tolerances for pointing and focusing accuracy.

Ans: Pointing and focus adjustments have been quantified. Interpolation formulas represent the data to within ~0.5" for the pointing and ± 3 -µm for the 2ndry piston.

CARA has accepted responsibility for applying these pointing and focus effects.

7. Any software GUI designs required by the ADC should be defined in the detail design phase.

Ans. GUI designs are being developed with CARA input, and acceptable designs exist (Sect. 4.4.4)

Stress induced birefringence may have an impact on precision polarimetry, Jacques Beckers recommends that the ADC design team contact Keller at the National Solar Observatory for comments on this issue. Christoph Keller is evaluating these effects for the LADC design for the LBT. In lieu of other information the best recommendation is that the ADC not be used when precision polarimetric observations are done with LRIS.

Ans. No action taken so far, as this has no impact on design or decision to build. This will be done before PSR so that observers can be properly advised.

(end of presentation)

The Problem



Corrected with ADC

